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impact measures: relevance

- individual level: *publish or perish*
  
  - a scientist that does not publish hardly has any reputation or impact
  
  - without any impact, he won’t make his carrier

- organizational level: evaluation
  
  - evaluation results determine prospective resources of institutes *and* the future main research
  
  - criteria: number of doctoral candidates, amount of third party funds, publications
from publications to impact

- scientific reputation (or scientific capital) is derived from publication impact

- impact is calculated mostly by citation measures
  - journal impact factor (jif)
  - hirsch-index (h-index)

*especially within the STM-domain*
citation impact: calculation

- jif: calculation

  in year $X$, the impact factor of a journal $Y$ is the average number of citations to articles that were published in $Y$ during the two years preceding $X$

  Garfield: „We never predicted that people would turn this into an evaluation tool for giving out grants and funding.“ From: Richard Monastersky (2005), The Number That's Devouring Science The Chronicle of Higher Education

- h-index: calculation

  a scientist has index $h$ if $h$ of $N$ papers have at least $h$ citations each, and the other $(N - h)$ papers have less than $h$ citations each
citation impact: a bunch of critiques

- restricted scope, exclusion of many publication types
- based exclusively on journal citation report/web of science
- language bias: items in English language are overrepresented within the database, so they reach higher citation scores
- JIF focuses on journals: few articles evoke most citations
- JIF discriminates disciplines with lifecycles of scientific information > 2 years
- commixture of quality and popularity
impact measures: a categorisation

- citation based measures
  - author centred
  - delayed measurement: at the first in the following generation of publications
  - mostly: impact of an separate object is not described

- usage based measures
  - reader centred
  - measuring: on-the-fly and consecutive
  - impact of a separate object can be described
  - automatised measurement possible
impact measures: a categorisation, pt. II

ISI IF = Journal Impact Factor
RF = Reading Factor
SA = Structure Author
• based on networks built by authors and their activities, e.g. Google PageRank, citation graphs, webometrics
SR = Structure Reader
• based on document usage and its contextual information, e.g. Recommenders, download graphs

usage based impact: standardisation?

- COUNTER, http://www.projectcounter.org/


- International Federation of Audit Bureaux of Circulations (IFABC), http://www.ifabc.org/
Usage based impact: standardisation?

- the models mentioned differ in many respects
  - detection and elimination of non-human access (robots, automatic harvesting)
  - definition of double click intervals

- general problems
  - ignorance of context information
  - detection of duplicate users
  - detection of duplicate information items
  - ignorance of philosophical questions like: what degree of similarity makes two files the same document?
alternative impact measures: conclusion

- alternative impact measures (in the form of usage based measures) can be mould

- but: very little standardisation

- promising, but complex examples/models like MESUR, http://www.mesur.org/MESUR.html

- requirement: sophisticated infrastructure to generate and exchange interoperable usage information within a network of several different servers
Open Access Statistics
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- [http://www.dini.de/projekte/oa-statistik/english/](http://www.dini.de/projekte/oa-statistik/english/)
Open Access Statistics: motivation

- Open access publications are often excluded from citation based impact measures
  - repository documents by definition
  - articles in open access journals due to their short citation history and often also due to their language
- Citation based impact measures are revealing several deficiencies
- Citation based impact measures should be complemented by usage based impact measures
  - because a multi-faceted approach could remedy some of their deficiencies
  - because the latter ones could create an incentive to use open access services
- It needs a project to establish the required infrastructure
Open Access Statistics: aims

- implementation of a network to collect, process and exchange usage information between different services

- usage information should be processed according to the standards of COUNTER, LogEc and IFABC

- development of additional services for repositories

- development of implementation guidelines

- initially formulated by the Electronic Publishing working group of DINI (Deutsche Initiative für Netzwerkinformation / German Initiative for Network Information)
Open Access Statistics: associated projects

- Open Access Statistics addresses usage description

- Open Access Citation address the issue of tracking citations between electronic publications

- Open Access Network
  - intends to build a network of repositories
  - will bundle the results of Open Access Citation and Open Access Statistics in one user interface
  - offers services for Open Access Citation and Open Access Statistics, e.g. deduplication of documents (based on a asymmetric similarity of fulltext documents)
Open Access Statistics: background

- data pools at the partner institutions
  - open access repositories
  - linkresolver
  - licence controlling servers

- aggregation of usage information/usage events from each single data pool in a central service provider
  - including deduplication
  - including processing according to the standards mentioned

- services provided by the central service provider

- usage data will be retransferred to distributed local repositories and to the Open Access Network service
Open Access Statistics: example

data provider (services x, y, z)
- generate logs about document usage
- pseudonymise user information (IP-addresses)
- process usage information (adds unique document ID, transforms data into OpenURL ContextObjects, ...)
- transmit the information via OAI-PMH to the service provider

service provider
- receives the information
- deduplicates documents and users
- computes usage statistics according to the standards mentioned
- delivers the information to external services (search engines, etc.) and to the data provider x, y, z that generated the logs
Open Access Statistics: background
Open Access Statistics: data provider

requirements

- a defined web server configuration
- local processing of the web server logs
  - pseudonymisation
  - isolation of the local document identification
  - ...
- packing of the OAI-PMH-container/ OpenURL-ContextObjects-container
  - referrent
  - reffering entity
  - requester
  - servicetype
  - resolver
  - referrer
Open Access Statistics: data provider

retransfer of processed information to the local repository

- protocol: OAI-PMH
- syntax: XML
- resolution: to be discussed (month?, weeks?, days?)
- granularity: fulltexts
Open Access Statistics: some lessons learned

linkresolvers are rarely offering suitable information
- external services (ovid) don’t offer usage information
- SFX-logs are very heterogenous
  - target may be a splash page or a fulltext
- hardly any information about open access documents

document deduplication seems difficult
- a given document may have more than one IDs
  - cause: multiple fulltext deposit on several repositories
- a given document may have several splash pages on different servers pointing at one fulltext on one single server
  - cause: metadata harvesting
- ...
Open Access Statistics: usage scenarios

data may be used

- from an user perspective as a criterion to estimate the relevance of a document (e.g. rankings)
- from an author perspective as an indicator for the dissemination of a concept
- from a service provider perspective:
  - as additional metadata for search engines, databases ...
  - as a recommender service
- from a repository perspective:
  - as a recommender service
  - as additional metadata for users
Open Access Statistics: repository integration

In screening of libraries derived by expression cloning, expression of active proteins in E. coli can be limited by formation of inclusion bodies. In these cases it would be desirable to enrich gene libraries for coding sequences with soluble gene products in E. coli and thus to improve the efficiency of screening. Previously Wilkinson and Harrison showed that solubility can be predicted from amino acid composition (Biotechnology 1991, 9(6)443-448). We have applied this analysis to members of the alpha/beta hydrolase fold family to predict their solubility in E. coli. alpha/beta hydrolases are a highly diverse family with more than 1800 proteins which have been grouped into homologous families and superfamilies.

Results:
The predicted solubility in E. coli depends on hydrolase size, phylogenetic origin of the host organism, the homologous
Open Access Statistics: repository integration
Open Access Statistics: additional information

- open access statistic will offer modules for OPUS- and DSpace-based repositories, other products can be configured easily
  - Nutzungsstatistiken elektronischer Publikationen. DINI-Schriftenreihe. DFG-Projekt Open Access-Statistik (OA-S) und DINI-Arbeitsgruppe „Elektronisches Publizieren“. Online: http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:kobv:11-100101174 (to be translated)

- Open Access Statistics workshop: 21.01.2010
  - http://www.dini.de/veranstaltungen/workshops/oa-statistik-was_zaeht/

- online questionnaire on features in digital repositories
  - http://oas.sulb.uni-saarland.de/fragebogen-english.php

- online demo
  - http://oa-statistik.sub.uni-goettingen.de/statsdemo

- website with further information about the workshop, technical specifications
  - http://www.dini.de/projekte/oa-statistik/english/
Open Access Statistics: further plans

Open Access Statistics II?

possible focus:

- internationalisation
- opening the network to other contributing repositories
- opening the network to other services (e.g. journals)
- evaluation of metrics more complex than the calculation of pure usage frequencies
- ...

Ulrich Herb, SULB
Open Access Statistics: cooperation

- SURFSure
  Statistics on the Usage of Repositories

- COUNTER
  Counting Online Usage of Networked Electronic Resources

- PIRUS
  Publisher and Institutional Repository Usage Statistics

- NEEO
  Network of European Economists Online

- PEER
  Publishing and the Ecology of European Research

- OAPEN
  Open Access Publishing in European Networks
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