Legal session: copyright status of statistical data, privacy issues

JISC Usage Statistics Workshop



Statistics as Facts

- Copyright protects expression, not fact.
- Facts per se have no protection under US / EU law.
- Web log example: this person from this url accessed this web page at this time.
- Can anyone claim that an individual entry in a web log has the originality necessary for protection?



Statistics as processed data

- Processing web log entries requires software.
- Does the output of an analysis program have enough originality to qualify for protection?
- If the analysis requires judgments about statistics, does the degree of originality increase?



Statistics as database data

- Does a web log represent a database?
- how much organization / management does data require to become a database?
- Under US law, a database has no legal protection
- Under EU law, a database has limited protection



Statistics as private data

- Raw log files can hold private data
- How much can be stripped away to protect privacy without losing information?
 - This depends on the granularity of the analysis
 - It also depends on the context.



Copyright enforcement: law

- Copyright enforcement requires rightholder action.
- Police do not enforce copyright
- If a rights holder does not care about infringement, no action occurs.



Copyright enforcement: society

- To a significant extent, copyright enforcement is a socially defined issue, not a legal one.
- US courts recognized significant forms of infringement as "fair" before 17 USC 107 came into the law code.
- Statistics fit this model as well.



Statistics and information economics 1

- The key factor in determining whether a use is fair has become the effect on the value of a work.
- As long as log files and other web-based statistics are not perceived as having economic value, the question of whether they have protection likely will be moot.



Statistics and information economics 2

 In other words, the more value we find in something like the statistics from a logfile analysis, the more likely we make it that people will dispute the right to use the data.







Logfiles and Statistical Data under Data Privacy Law

Legal Requirements for Server-Logfiles and Repositories

Hannes Obex
University of Muenster
Institute for Information-,
Telecommunication- and Media-Law





Outline

- Introduction into US Data Privacy Law
- II. Introduction into UK Data Privacy Law
- III. Introduction into German Data Privacy Law
- IV. Specific Regulations for Electronic Communication in Germany
- V. Webserver-Logfiles and Repositories
- VI. Publication of Statistical Data
- VII. Conclusion







- Data protection not a written fundamental right in Federal Constitution (Bill of Rights)
- U.S. Supreme Court recognises right to privacy → Data Protection
- Binding only for government agencies, not for private persons or entities







- States have own constitution
- Some explicitly recognise privacy protection
- But protection equal to Federal Constitution (not applicable for private persons)







- Federal Privacy Protection Act (1974)
 - E.g. prior consent and information of person concerned
 - Only binding for public authorities
- Telecommunications Act (1996)
 - Applicable for telecommunication carriers
 - Telecommunication services have to be in return for payment
 - Mainly for access providers







- Self Regulation
 - Idea: consumer and market will reward privacy protection
 - Declaration of privacy statements (e.g. of Online-Privacy Alliance)
 - Enforcement and surveillance by seal programs (Trustmarks)
 - Requirements: Notice and Choice ("good notices of bad practices")







- Safe Harbour
 - Commission of European Union: Level of data protection in the US not sufficient
 - No data transfer from EU to US
 - Exception: Consent or Safe Harbour
 - Higher protection level than US self regulation, but less than EU
 - 1506 companies (01/07/2008)







CONCLUSION

- Practically no privacy protection legislation for the private electronic communication sector
- Self regulation and seal programs
- Safe Harbour (permitting data transfer with EU)
- Data protection level lower than in the EU







- Influenced by European legislation
- Implementation of EU directives at national level
- →Legal situation and basic principles similar to Germany (III.)
- Data transfer to/from Germany permitted

III. Introduction into German Data Privacy Law

- German Federal Constitutional Court (1983):
 - "Right to decide, when and to whom disclose personal data"
 - "there is no irrelevant data"
 - Usage of personal data needs specific legal regulation
 - Principle of notification and choice
 - Principle of data minimisation
 - Principle of purpose limitation
 - Principle of proportionality

III. Introduction into German Data Privacy Law

- Results of this decision
 - Data Privacy is guaranteed by the constitution (right of informational self-determination)
 - Every government agency is directly bound
 - Legislator has to provide protection against intrusion by private persons or entities
 - Crucial statements incorporated into Data Privacy Laws (e.g. Federal Data Protection Act, State Law, specific legislation)

III. Introduction into German Data Privacy Law

- European Law
 - Strong influence on German legislation by implementation at national level
 - Several Directives concerning Data Privacy
 - Data transfer within the EU is permitted
 - Data transfer to other countries forbidden, unless adequate level of data protection (e.g. Safe Harbour)

- Telecommunications Act (TKG)
 - Section 91 et segq.
 - Applicable for telecommunications service providers
 - TKG only for technical aspects (not for content), therefore only for access providers

- German Telemedia Act (TMG)
 - Section 11 et seqq.
 - Applicable for access, host and content provider
 - Content-related services
 - Applicable for IP-Logfiles, user profiles, cookies, etc.

- Basic Principles
 - Data collection and usage forbidden, unless:
 - Specific statutory authorisation
 - Consent by user
 - Clear and comprehensive information
 - Right to object certain data processing (and to still use the service)
 - Limitation of purpose

- Personal Data
 - Data which refers to personal or factual circumstances of a natural person
 - Personal: age, gender, name
 - Factual: property, income, bank balance
 - Person has to be identified or identifiable for the data processor

- Logfiles and user profiles
- Control and improvement of online services
- Crucial question: Logfiles = personal data?
 - Static IP-number: identification possible (e.g. whois-query)
 - Dynamic IP-Number: access provider can identify user
 - Can content oder host provider identify users?

- Decision AG/LG Berlin
 - Person identifiable, if there is any possibility of content provider to get the information
 - Not only legal possibilities (especially protection against illegal measures)
 - Content provider might (illegally) receive user information from access provider
 - Therefore: Dynamic IP-Number = Personal Data

- Not prevailing case law or legal opinion!
 - Very disputed decision
 - Legal experts: only adequate and legal possibilities taken into consideration
 - Recent decision by lower courts
 - Dynamic IP-Number by itself is may not be personal data for content provider
 (unless content provider receives identification from user, e.g. by registration/login)

- Authorisation to process personal data
 - Section 15 TMG:Usage Data, especially:
 - Identifying Features (IP-Number)
 - Information about time and extent of use
 - Sec. 15 paragraph 1 sentence 1: data essential for providing the telemedia service and for billing
 - → Not allowed, if service is free
 - → Not essential for delivering the service



- Sec. 15 paragraph 3 TMG:
 - Purpose of advertising, market research and demand-responsive design of telemedia
 - User profiling allowed
 - Data has to be pseudonymised

- Anonymous data: data processor cannot (or only with unproportional effort) identify the user
- Pseudonymous data: data is encoded, so that information originating from one user can be related to other data from this user
 - → decoding theoretically possible
 - → measures to prevent decoding (Sec. 13 paragraph 4 no. 6)

- User has right to object to the profiling
- User has to be informed about data processing and his rights at the beginning of utilisation of telemedia service

Cookies

- Can contain personal data (IP, name, login etc.)
- If later identification is enabled and collection or processing of personal data is prepared, user has to be informed (Sec. 13 paragraph 1 sentence 2)
- Free use of cookies, if cookie uses identifier without connection to user's real identity (random code)

Data transfer

- Allowed for purpose of market research (Sec. 15 paragraph 5 sentence 3)
- Data has to be anonymised (no identification possible)
- Regulation conclusive for telecommunication media
- If data transfer serves other purpose: consent required

Consent

- "informed consent", i.e. user has to be informed about the type of data processed, the extent of the processing, the purpose etc.
- Information has to be given <u>prior</u> to use of service / data processing
- "free consent", i.e. user must have the choice to use the service without agreeing to the data processing Consent must be given knowingly and clearly
- It must be protocolled
- Content must be accessable for user
- User can revoke consent at all times

CONCLUSION

- If Logfiles contain personal data: TMG applicable
- Statutory authorisation for creation of logfiles on the basis of pseudonymous data for the purpose of advertising, market research and demandresponsive design of services
- Data transfer permitted fur purpose of market research, when data is anonymised
- User has to be informed about data processing and his right to object to the creation of a profile
- For every other data processing or transmission: user consent required





VI. Statistical Data of Documents

- Numbers of visitors of a certain documents
- "Hits" (counter)
- Personal data, if IP-Number, user name etc. is logged
- If mere number of clicks: no person identifiable, therefore no personal data





VI. Statistical Data of Documents

- Rights of the author
 - Author might not approve if his number of hits is low compared with other users
 - Number of hits is no data concerning author (only shows users' behaviour)
 - Number of hits is a factual statement
 - As long as statistic is true: no defamation
 - RESULT: Statistical data can be published





VI. Conclusion

- Logfiles can contain personal data (controversal: dynamic IP-numbers)
- If this is the case, Sec. 11 et. seqq. TMG apply
- User profiles are allowed for certain purposes, but only with pseudonymised data
- User has to be informed and the right to object
- Data transfer is very restricted; consent recommended
- Statistical data of documents can be published,
 as long as they do not contain personal data





Thank you for your attention

hannes.obex@uni-muenster.de